

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,043	10/20/2000	Hideyasu Ishibashi	Q61360	5309
7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			CHEN, WENPENG	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2624		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/692,043	ISHIBASHI, HIDEYASU				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wenpeng Chen	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 17 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic	ation. A proper reply to a				
	REPLY [check either a] or b]]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TR	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date o (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) Method they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		, ,				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,4,9,12,15 and 16</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-8,10-11,13-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appl	roved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer						
0. Other:						
	///					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Wenpeng Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2624

Contil Spation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to the pending claims and the added new claims recite the feature(s) that are not taught in the prior art on the record as indicated in the Final rejection mailed on 3/18/2004. However, the objection to specification set forth by the Examiner in the same Final rejection was not addressed or overcome. The objected part at issue cannot be easily corrected with an examiner's amendment. Thus the application even with the proposed amendment is not under condition of allowance. Based on MPEP, n Ex parte Quayle action can be made even the newly proposed claims are not rejectable over the prior art becaue this is an old objection. The Examiner thus made this advisory action. The Applicant can present a new after-final-amendment again to include this non-entered amendments to claims and amendment that can overcome the objection to specification discussed above. At that time, the Examiner will approve entry.